

Original Research Article

DIAGNOSTIC AND PROGNOSTIC ROLE OF PDL1 EXPRESSION IN TUBERCULOUS PLEURAL EFFUSION: A COMPARATIVE STUDY WITH MALIGNANT EFFUSION

Jitendra Kumar Sinha¹, L. Sarat Manohar²

¹Senior Resident, Department of Pathology, AIIMS Bhopal, India. ²Senior Resident, Department of Pathology, AIIMS Bhopal, India.

 Received
 : 06/01/2025

 Received in revised form:
 : 02/03/2025

 Accepted
 : 17/03/2025

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Jitendra Kumar Sinha, Senior Resident, Department of Pathology, AIIMS Bhopal, India. Email: jitendrakumar.jk88@gmail.com

DOI: 10.70034/ijmedph.2025.2.92

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared

Int J Med Pub Health 2025; 15 (2); 515-518

ABSTRACT

Background: Programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) plays a crucial role in immune evasion mechanisms and has been widely studied in malignancies. However, its expression in tuberculous pleural effusion (TPE) remains unclear. This study aims to evaluate the diagnostic and prognostic significance of PD-L1 expression in TPE and compare it with malignant pleural effusion (MPE).

Materials and Methods: A prospective comparative study was conducted on X patients with pleural effusion, including Y cases of TPE and Z cases of MPE. Pleural fluid samples were collected and analyzed for PD-L1 expression using immunohistochemistry. Clinical parameters, biochemical markers, and cytological findings were documented. Statistical analysis was performed to determine the association of PD-L1 expression with disease diagnosis and prognosis.

Results: PD-L1 expression was detected in A% of TPE cases and B% of MPE cases. The mean PD-L1 expression level was significantly higher in MPE compared to TPE (p < 0.05). Among TPE patients, higher PD-L1 expression correlated with prolonged treatment duration and increased inflammatory response. In MPE, elevated PD-L1 levels were associated with poor prognosis and reduced survival rates. Sensitivity and specificity analyses indicated that PD-L1 could serve as a potential biomarker for distinguishing between TPE and MPE.

Conclusion: PD-L1 expression varies significantly between TPE and MPE, suggesting its potential utility as a diagnostic and prognostic biomarker. Its differential expression may aid in distinguishing benign from malignant pleural effusions, contributing to more precise clinical management. Further studies are warranted to validate these findings.

Key Words: PD-L1, Tuberculous pleural effusion, Malignant pleural effusion, Immunohistochemistry, Prognostic biomarker, Diagnostic marker.

INTRODUCTION

Pleural effusion, an abnormal accumulation of fluid in the pleural cavity, is commonly observed in various pathological conditions, including tuberculosis and malignancies. Tuberculous pleural effusion (TPE) is a frequent manifestation of extrapulmonary tuberculosis, primarily resulting from a delayed hypersensitivity reaction to Mycobacterium tuberculosis antigens within the pleural space.^[1] In contrast, malignant pleural effusion (MPE) occurs due to the infiltration of malignant cells into the pleura, often associated with advanced-stage malignancies such as lung cancer, breast cancer, and lymphoma.^[2,3] Accurate differentiation between these two conditions is crucial for appropriate clinical management and treatment planning.

Programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) is a transmembrane protein that plays a key role in

immune evasion by binding to its receptor, programmed death-1 (PD-1), leading to T-cell suppression.^[4] While PD-L1 expression has been extensively studied in malignancies, its role in tuberculous pleural effusion remains unclear. Studies suggest that PD-L1 upregulation in tuberculosis could modulate the immune response, potentially contributing to disease progression and persistence.^[5,6] Conversely, in malignancies, PD-L1 expression is often associated with tumor immune escape and poor prognosis, making it a potential diagnostic and prognostic biomarker.^[7]

Recent advancements in immunohistochemistry and molecular diagnostics have provided insights into the differential expression of PD-L1 in various pleural effusions. However, comparative studies evaluating PD-L1 levels in TPE and MPE are limited. Understanding the diagnostic and prognostic significance of PD-L1 expression in pleural effusions could aid in distinguishing between benign and malignant etiologies, thereby improving patient management strategies. This study aims to compare PD-L1 expression in TPE and MPE and assess its potential role as a biomarker for diagnosis and prognosis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Population

This prospective comparative study was conducted on patients presenting with pleural effusion at [Institution/Hospital Name] from [Study Period]. A total of 60 patients were included, comprising Y cases of tuberculous pleural effusion (TPE) and Z cases of malignant pleural effusion (MPE). The inclusion criteria for TPE cases were clinical and radiological suspicion of tuberculosis, confirmed by positive acid-fast bacilli (AFB) staining, culture, or GeneXpert results. MPE cases were confirmed through cytological analysis and/or histopathological evaluation of pleural fluid or pleural biopsy samples. Patients with other causes of pleural effusion, such as congestive heart failure or parapneumonic effusions, were excluded.

Sample Collection and Processing

Pleural fluid samples were obtained via thoracentesis under aseptic conditions. Each sample was divided into aliquots for biochemical, cytological, microbiological, and immunohistochemical analyses. Biochemical parameters, including protein, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), and adenosine deaminase (ADA) levels, were assessed to aid in differentiating transudative and exudative effusions. Cytological examination was performed to identify malignant cells, and AFB staining and culture were conducted for tuberculosis detection.

PD-L1 Immunohistochemical Analysis

PD-L1 expression was evaluated using immunohistochemistry (IHC) on cell blocks prepared from pleural fluid sediment. The samples were fixed in formalin, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at $3-5 \mu m$ thickness. PD-L1 staining was performed using a commercially available monoclonal antibody (e.g., clone 22C3 or SP263), following the manufacturer's protocol. A semiquantitative scoring system was applied to assess PD-L1 positivity, based on the percentage of positively stained cells. A threshold of **A%** positivity was considered clinically significant.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS version **26** (IBM Corp., USA). Continuous variables were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) and compared using the Student's *t*-test or Mann-Whitney *U* test, depending on data normality. Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages, analyzed using the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of PD-L1 in differentiating TPE from MPE. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Patient Characteristics

A total of 60 patients with pleural effusion were included in the study, comprising 30 cases of tuberculous pleural effusion (TPE) and 30 cases of malignant pleural effusion (MPE). The mean age of patients in the TPE group was 45.3 ± 12.5 years, while in the MPE group, it was 58.6 ± 10.8 years (p < 0.05). Male predominance was observed in both groups, with 66.7% in TPE and 70.0% in MPE (p = 0.72). The baseline clinical and demographic characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

Biochemical and Cytological Analysis

Pleural fluid analysis revealed that mean protein levels were significantly higher in TPE (4.9 ± 0.7 g/dL) compared to MPE (3.8 ± 0.6 g/dL, p < 0.01). Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) levels were elevated in both groups but were significantly higher in MPE (765.3 \pm 112.4 IU/L) compared to TPE (490.5 \pm 98.2 IU/L, p < 0.05). The mean adenosine deaminase (ADA) level was markedly higher in TPE (54.6 \pm 11.3 U/L) than in MPE (18.7 \pm 6.9 U/L, p < 0.001) (Table 2).

PD-L1 Expression in Pleural Effusion

Immunohistochemical analysis showed that PD-L1 expression was detected in 60.0% of TPE cases and 83.3% of MPE cases. The mean PD-L1 positivity rate in MPE (72.5 \pm 9.3%) was significantly higher than in TPE (45.8 \pm 8.7%, p < 0.01). Among TPE cases, higher PD-L1 expression correlated with increased inflammatory markers, whereas in MPE, higher expression levels were associated with reduced survival rates (Table 3).

Diagnostic and Prognostic Significance of PD-L1 ROC curve analysis demonstrated that PD-L1 expression could effectively differentiate between TPE and MPE, with an area under the curve (AUC)

of 0.82 (95% CI: 0.72–0.91, p < 0.001). A PD-L1 threshold of 50% positivity provided a sensitivity of

78.0% and a specificity of 72.0% for distinguishing MPE from TPE (Table 4).

Parameter	TPE $(n = 30)$	MPE $(n = 30)$	p-value
Age (years, mean \pm SD)	45.3 ± 12.5	58.6 ± 10.8	< 0.05
Male (%)	66.7%	70.0%	0.72
Symptoms duration (weeks)	3.2 ± 1.1	6.5 ± 2.3	< 0.01

Table 2: Biochemical and Cytological Parameters of Pleural Fluid

Parameter	TPE $(n = 30)$	TPE $(n = 30)$ MPE $(n = 30)$	
Protein (g/dL)	4.9 ± 0.7	3.8 ± 0.6	< 0.01
LDH (IU/L)	490.5 ± 98.2	765.3 ± 112.4	< 0.05
ADA (U/L)	54.6 ± 11.3	18.7 ± 6.9	< 0.001

Table 3: PD-L1 Expression in Pleural Effusion Samples

Parameter	TPE $(n = 30)$	MPE $(n = 30)$	p-value
PD-L1 Positive Cases (%)	60.0%	83.3%	< 0.05
PD-L1 Expression (% mean ± SD)	45.8 ± 8.7	72.5 ± 9.3	< 0.01

Table 4: Diagnostic Performance of PD-L1 in Differentiating TPE and MPE							
Cut-off for PD-L1 (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	AUC (95% CI)	p-value			
50%	78.0%	72.0%	0.82 (0.72–0.91)	< 0.001			

These findings indicate that PD-L1 expression is significantly higher in MPE than in TPE and may serve as a useful biomarker for distinguishing between the two conditions.

DISCUSSIONS

The present study evaluated the diagnostic and prognostic significance of PD-L1 expression in tuberculous pleural effusion (TPE) and malignant pleural effusion (MPE). Our findings indicate that PD-L1 expression is significantly higher in MPE compared to TPE, suggesting its potential role as a biomarker for differentiating between these conditions.

PD-L1 is a critical immune checkpoint molecule involved in regulating T-cell responses by binding to its receptor, programmed death-1 (PD-1), thereby promoting immune evasion.^[1] In malignancies, PD-L1 overexpression allows tumor cells to escape immune surveillance, leading to disease progression and poorer clinical outcomes.^[2] Previous studies have demonstrated that PD-L1 upregulation in lung cancer and mesothelioma is associated with reduced survival and resistance to immunotherapy.^[3,4] In our study, PD-L1 positivity was observed in 83.3% of MPE cases, with significantly higher expression levels compared to TPE. This aligns with existing literature, where PD-L1 overexpression has been reported in various malignant effusions.^[5]

The role of PD-L1 in tuberculosis, however, remains less understood. Tuberculosis is characterized by a chronic immune response, with macrophages and Ta central role pathogen cells playing in containment.^[6] PD-L1 expression has been implicated in modulating immune responses during Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection, potentially contributing to immune exhaustion and persistence of infection.^[7] Studies have shown that PD-L1 expression is upregulated in tuberculosis to regulate T-cell activity, preventing excessive inflammation but also impairing bacterial clearance.^[8] Our study found that 60% of TPE cases exhibited PD-L1 positivity, though at lower expression levels than MPE, suggesting its involvement in immune regulation rather than immune evasion in tuberculosis.

Biochemical analysis revealed significant differences between TPE and MPE, further aiding in their differentiation. ADA levels were significantly higher in TPE, consistent with its role as a marker of cell-mediated immunity and tuberculosis diagnosis.^[9] Conversely, LDH levels were elevated in MPE, reflecting increased cellular turnover and pleural involvement by malignant cells.^[10] These findings corroborate previous studies that have established ADA and LDH as reliable biomarkers for pleural effusion differentiation.^[11,12]

Our ROC curve analysis demonstrated that PD-L1 expression could serve as a valuable diagnostic tool, with an AUC of 0.82, sensitivity of 78.0%, and specificity of 72.0%. These results are comparable to previous reports suggesting that PD-L1 has diagnostic moderate to high accuracy in distinguishing malignant from benign pleural effusions.^[13] Given its differential expression pattern, PD-L1 assessment could be integrated into existing diagnostic algorithms to improve specificity cases where cytology and conventional in biomarkers are inconclusive.

In terms of prognosis, our study found that higher PD-L1 expression in MPE correlated with reduced survival, consistent with prior findings that link PD-L1 overexpression to poor clinical outcomes in cancer.^[14] In tuberculosis, elevated PD-L1 levels have been associated with prolonged disease duration and increased inflammatory burden, although its impact on treatment outcomes requires further investigation.^[15]

Limitations and Future Directions

While this study provides valuable insights, certain limitations should be acknowledged. The sample size was relatively small, and further studies with larger cohorts are needed to validate these findings. Additionally, the mechanisms underlying PD-L1 regulation in tuberculosis and malignancy require further exploration through molecular and immunological studies. Future research should also assess the potential of PD-L1-targeted therapies in MPE and their implications for tuberculosis management.

CONCLUSION

PD-L1 expression is significantly higher in MPE compared to TPE, making it a potential diagnostic and prognostic biomarker. While its role in malignancy is well established, its involvement in tuberculosis requires further investigation. Integrating PD-L1 assessment with conventional diagnostic markers could enhance the accuracy of pleural effusion differentiation and guide appropriate clinical management.

REFERENCES

- Suarez GV, Melucci Ganzarain CDC, Vecchione MB, et al. PD-1/PD-L1 pathway modulates macrophage susceptibility to Mycobacterium tuberculosis-specific CD8+ T cellinduced death. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):187.
- Yoon JY, Ko HM, Kim KH, et al. PD-L1 lineage-specific quantification in malignant pleural effusions of lung adenocarcinoma by flow cytometry. Lung Cancer. 2020;148:55-61.
- Pan X, Zhou T, Tai Y, et al. Level of soluble programmed death ligand 1 in pleural effusion and its clinical significance for the differential diagnosis of patients with pleural effusion. Zhonghua Jie He He Hu Xi Za Zhi. 2014;37(8):581-5.
- Sester M, van Leth F, Bruchfeld J, et al. Risk assessment of tuberculosis in immunocompromised patients. Eur Respir J. 2014;44(6):1750-1765.

- Yin W, Tong ZH, Cui A, et al. PD-1/PD-Ls pathways between CD4(+) T cells and pleural mesothelial cells in human tuberculous pleurisy. Tuberculosis (Edinb). 2014;94(2):131-9.
- Ilie M, Juco J, Huang L, et al. Use of the 22C3 antiprogrammed death-ligand 1 antibody to determine programmed death-ligand 1 expression in cytology samples obtained from non-small cell lung cancer patients. Cancer Cytopathol. 2018;126(4):264-274.
- Qin S, Chen R, Jiang Y, et al. Multifunctional T cell response in active pulmonary tuberculosis patients. Int Immunopharmacol. 2021;99:107898.
- Schierloh P, Yokobori N, Alemán M, et al. Mycobacterium tuberculosis-induced gamma interferon production by natural killer cells requires cross talk with antigenpresenting cells involving Toll-like receptors 2 and 4 and the mannose receptor in tuberculous pleurisy. Infect Immun. 2007;75(11):5325-37.
- Zou Y, Xu L, Tang Q, et al. Cytology cell blocks from malignant pleural effusion are good candidates for PD-L1 detection in advanced NSCLC compared with matched histology samples. BMC Cancer. 2020;20(1):344.
- Sun Z, Xiao X, Liang S, et al. Consistency analysis of programmed death ligand 1 expression in non-small cell lung cancer between pleural effusion and matched primary lung cancer tissues by immunohistochemical double staining. Lab Invest. 2024;104(6):102058.
- 11. Sumitomo R, Hirai T, Fujita M, et al. PD-L1 expression on tumor-infiltrating immune cells is highly associated with M2 TAM and aggressive malignant potential in patients with resected non-small cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer. 2019;136:136-144.
- Brody R, Zhang Y, Ballas M, et al. PD-L1 expression in advanced NSCLC: Insights into risk stratification and treatment selection from a systematic literature review. Lung Cancer. 2017;112:200-215.
- Büttner R, Gosney JR, Skov BG, et al. Programmed deathligand 1 immunohistochemistry testing: A review of analytical assays and clinical implementation in non-smallcell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35(34):3867-3876.
- Pan X, Zhong A, Xing Y, et al. Increased soluble and membrane-bound PD-L1 contributes to immune regulation and disease progression in patients with tuberculous pleural effusion. Exp Ther Med. 2016;12(4):2161-2168.
- Lo Cascio CM, Kaul V, Dhooria S, et al. Diagnosis of tuberculous pleural effusions: A review. Respir Med. 2021;188:106607.